| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------|---|
| 1 | CHILDREN | IN NEED | OF HELP OF PROTECT | ION | | | |
| 1 | Ensure that assessmen | nts are timely, propo | ortionate and effectively identify the risks and r | needs and pro | tective factors, leading to | appropriate and | d measurable plans |
| 1.6 | Consistent application of CP thresholds and CP process | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | Performance reporting to capture Heads of Service oversight Head of Service oversight of Sec 47 going to ICPC | Sept - Nov 2017 | Evidence from performance reporting and case file audits will indicate correct threshold. | ONGOING | HoS automatically notified on all section 47s completed including those potentially returning to a Plan for a second time. 9.12.16. |
| | | Head of Service Safeguarding | Ensure that core groups are developing child protection plans. (OFSTED DEC 2016) Training to IROs on what is expected and what they should be challenging. Review of all CP that has ended at 3 mths (in last 6 mths) | From Jan 2017 and ongoing By end Sept 17 | To be evidenced in case file auditing; picked up by IROs in DRPs and by Lead IRO/HOS in IRO effectiveness audits. Section 47s that lead to an initial case conference – 39% target | PART COMPLETED | Audit activity has revealed some inconsistency around the function of core groups and this has been addressed in the service concerned. (9.2.2017) |
| 1.7 | Reduce number of single assessments that result in no further intervention | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | Within Performance Management implement measure to track proportion of assessment stepped down. | By end Sept 17 | 2 % target reduction from current baseline | REVIEW | |
| 2 | Ensure that timely dec | cisions are made on | contacts and referrals and that initial visits to c | hildren are pr | ompt | · | |
| 2.1 | All contacts/referrals to be screened within 24 hours. | Head of Service MASH/ SA | • Performance reporting to specify distribution of working days from contact to referral outcome. | Phase 2 | Correct intervention at appropriate | REVIEW | The 2nd phase of performance data showing service and team manager's |

| | | | Performance reporting to specify 24hrs and 48hrs | Phase 3 | threshold will be applied. | | views will be launched on 13/12/12. 9.12.16 |
|-----|---|--|---|---|--|-----------|--|
| | | | | Mar 2017 (LOGI) version | Target – 85% of contacts where a decision was made within 24 hours Appropriate decision making for a minority of contacts to be actioned at 48 hrs instead of 24 hrs | | In February 2017, over 80% of contacts had a decision within 24 hours. (31 March 2017) To maintain target of 85% in 24 hrs but to ensure appropriate % decision – audit of MASH |
| 2.2 | Children in need to be seen within 5 working days of referral outcome. | Head of Service MASH/ SA | Performance data to specify out of time assessments scheduled in that reporting month and the distribution of working days until child seen. Audit of CiN cases to consider quality of planning Torbay Audit process | Phase 2 Mar 2017 (LOGI) version Sept 17 | 90% target - referrals where the child was seen within 5 working days (SA) Visit on PARIS and plan identifying intervention is present and KPI is met | | In February 2017, performance data demonstrated an improvement – to 72.9% A particular team and individuals are being targeted to make improvements. (31 March 2017). Performance A |
| 2.3 | Children in need of protection to be seen within 1 working day of S47 starting. | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | Performance data to specify out of time assessments scheduled in that reporting month and the distribution of working days until child seen. | Phase 2 Mar 2017 (LOGI) version | Target 90% of referrals where the child was seen within 1 working days (Sc 47) Performance reporting reviewed | COMPLETED | This is an improving picture and gone up to 81% in February 2017. Action plan in place to improve this further and it is being tracked at monthly |

| | | | | | | | performance meetings (31 March 2017) Figure for July |
|-----|--|---|---|-------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| 2.4 | Consistent application of Signs of Safety model by CP Chairs | Head of Service Safeguarding Improvement Partner | Observations of CP Conferences | Sept 17 | Consistent delivery of CP and planning | Ongoing | |
| 2.5 | CiN work is robustly managed and confidence is high in its delivery | Head of Service SASF AD | Team Manager to oversee CiN meetings Review of allocation CiN CiN Protocol | Sept 17 Oct 17 | Increase in confidence in CiN by partners to help children and families | Ongoing | |
| 2.6 | Quality of CiN work resulting in diversion from CP/LAC | AD Improvement Partner | CiN/CP Practice review Review of documentation Workshop for Managers on what can be provided at CiN | С | CiN wk results in reduction of CP + does not increase re- referral rate | Review 27 – 29 Sept | |
| 2.7 | CiN is supported by robust Business Support functions | BS Manager | Review of business processes Review of quality of minutes and timeliness of meeting invites, etc. | Sept 17 | Increase in partner engagement at CiN meetings. Timeliness of all notes/reports and confidence in CIN process is reported by partners at the CIB | Ongoing | |
| 2.8 | CiN is not supported by partners due to focus/demand of CP work | TSCB Partner agencies AD Head of Safeguarding | Sharing of updated protocol CiN meetings structured CiN meetings TM chair | Sept 17 | Increase in CiN attendance by partners Reduction of cancellations | Ongoing | |

| 4 | | | m home or care are offered a timely and comp and protective services | rehensive ret | urn interview and that in f | formation fror | n these interviews is collated to |
|-----|---|---|--|---------------------|---|----------------|--|
| | Children who go missing to be offered a return home interview within 72 hours of their return | QA | review contractual arrangements with existing service provider | Sept 2017 | Provider meets contractual arrangements. | REVIEW | This is an improving picture with the % of RHI completed in 72 hours rising to 80% in Q4 (11/04/17). |
| 4.1 | | | issue contract variation | Sept 2017 | Target – 80% of young people who were provided with a return home interview within 72 hours | REVIEW | Figure for July |
| | | | Review return home interview practice standards within Audits CSE | Oct 17 2016 | Compliance + Equality | | |
| | Monitor and analyse information from | ATMIFSS CS Performance | Review PARIS template to ensure that all missing data is recorded on PARIS | Oct 2017 | Performance reporting provides assurance against set target | | |
| 4.2 | return home interviews in order to improve future practice | Lead HOS for Safeguarding and QA | Review LOGI report to monitor volume and timeliness of return home interviews | Oct 2017 | Performance reporting provides assurance against set target | | |
| 5 | Ensure that the numb | er of children at risk o | of CSE is known and actions plans are in place | | · | | |
| 5.1 | Consolidate strategic response to the risk | Head Early Help | Missing, Exploited and Trafficked Sub- Group to be reviewed – Observation by SLT | Aug 2017 Sept 17 | Clear arrangements to identify and monitor Children at risk of CSE | REVIEW | ToR to be attached |
| | of CSE | TSCB | Ensure that MACSE and Missing Monday Meetings facilitate mapping of | Aug 2017 | Clear arrangements to identify and monitor Children at risk of CSE | REVIEW | Data being collected, collated and reported to MET subgroup - |

| | | | risk activity and this is reported to the MET subgroup REVIEW | Sept 17 | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|--|-----------|---|---|
| | | Head of Early Help | Ensure all cases identified as being at risk of CSE are known | Sept 2017 | Provide data from PARIS to CSE business support | Request has been made to PARIS for indicator box |
| 5.2 | Monitor and analyse information from CSE risk assessments | | Ensure all cases with CSE have an assessment in the form of the CSE toolkit and this is reviewed | Sept 2017 | Compliance with assessments and reviews | This is being monitored through CSE spreadsheet. Ongoing work to streamline with Early Help tracking |
| | | Lead Auditor | Monitor quality of assessment and plans in relation to CSE through CSE Audit | Oct 2017 | Audits show improvement in quality of assessment and planning | |
| | | Lead Auditor | Monitor equality of service- for example, boys at risk of CSE through CSE Audit | Oct 17 | Audit identifies that vulnerable groups are being identified. | |
| 5.3 | Ensure that the interventions in relation to CSE are | Head of Early Help | Audit CSE toolkit outcomes and disseminate effective practice | Oct 2017 | Supervisions and case audits will identify if CSE audit toolkit is being used. | |
| | effective | | Review CSE Champions support best practice interventions | Oct 2017 | Notes from CSE Champions Meetings | |
| | Ensure CSE/Missing | AD TSCB | TSCB: data available across the system to develop | Oct 17 | Reduction of risk re. missing/CSE | |
| 5.4 | whole co-ordinated system response | Partners | To develop a strategic action plan including partner agencies responsibilities to CSE. | | | |
| 2 | PARTNERS | SHIP WOR | KING | | | |
| 7 | | | a that shildran ressive timely and offective as | | | |

Work effectively with partnerships to ensure that children receive timely and effective early help and assessments and plans are in place for each child

7

| | | | • Develop and implement EH pra standards, as part of work in 7.3 | July 2017 | Improved, consistent thresholds and coherent pathways to intervention, as evidenced in case file audits. | ONGOING | Partners are confident in multi-agency TAF working within the community. Partners are confident in completing EH assessments and TAF plans. More detailed data/audit activity needed. |
|-----|--|--|---|--------------------|--|-----------|---|
| 7.2 | Early Help Assessments are comprehensive and reflect the right threshold of need | Head of Early Help TSCB | Develop and implement EH auc as part of work in 7.1 | lit tool Sept 2017 | The audit tool Is being effectively used. | COMPLETED | Multi-agency working group has been set up (reporting into the Early Help Steering Group), so that TSCB can sign off on 15 June 2017, with a launch date on 16 June 2017. Ongoing training needs arising from the launch, will be picked up by the Early Help Steering Group (31 March 2017) |
| 7.3 | Children receive a timely response for EH and targeted intervention | HOS Early Help FIT Team Improvement partner | Review role of Targeted panel a processes, as part of the Early H Strategy refresh in consultation the Improvement Partner. | Help Sept/Oct 17 | Children receive an appropriate and timely response, based on robust assessment – case file auditing/direct observation MASH/Targetted Panel/FIT Partners demonstrate an understanding of the new process and are using the targeted panel appropriately. | | Data needs to show improvement in Targeted Panel referrals |

| | | | | | The TSCB Early Help Board have a strong understanding of need and are appropriately responded with a partnership offer. | | |
|-----|--|---|--|---------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| 8 | Ensure that the thresh | old for a referral to th | the Designated Officer is well understood acros | s the partners | hip | | |
| 8.1 | Ensure that the threshold for a referral to the designated officer is well understood across the partnership | HOS for Safeguarding and QA CS Performance Lead Improvement Partner | Undertake a thematic audit on LADO Review role of LADO within IRO Service | Sept 2017 Oct 17 | LADO referrals are appropriate and partners demonstrate confidence in both using the LADO process and the subsequent outcomes. | ONGOING | |
| 9 | With partners, ensure | that timely and effect | ctive services are in place, particularly in relation | on to domesti | abuse, adult mental hea | lth, CAMHS and | l emergency duty service |
| 9.1 | Ensure that domestic abuse work has a clear strategy and action plan | Children's Commissioner / TSCB Domestic Abuse work | Convene multi agency workshop to review current arrangements and begin to shape future provision | Oct 17 | Strategy and action plan are in place. | | |
| 9.2 | EDS provides a timely and effective service to children out of hours | Children's Commissioner / TSCB' HoS Targeted Intervention | Work with Improvement Partner to review Out of Hours arrangements within the context of the new Delivery Model | April 2018 | Children are kept safe. | | |
| 3 | SAFEGUAI | RDING AN | ID QUALITY ASSURAN | CE | | | |

| 10 | Strengthen the quality information | y assurance role in Ind | lepe | ndent Reviewing Officer and Child Protect | tion and Chairs | s and ensure that reviews | and conferenc | es result in effective |
|------|--|--|------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 10.2 | Implement Signs of Safety Approach | HOS Safeguarding and QA / Senior IRO | • | Exercise to understand the way professionals apply the scale of risk factors within child protection conferences. (OFSTED DEC 2016) | Sept 2017 | Confusion is minimised and there is one clear consistent message to parents/children and young people and professionals. | ONGOING | Desk top review of scoring underway to establish trends is ongoing. |
| 10.3 | Monitor and analyse service specific performance information | HOS Safeguarding and QA CS Performance Lead HOS Safeguarding and QA | • | Develop a LOGI PARIS report that captures agreed data set and monitors compliance with practice standards for CP Chairs and IROs | To be completed by end of 2017 | Consistently clear management information so that areas for further work can be targeted. | Data continues to be captured manually by SARS (11.04.17) | Performance data delivery date required |
| 10.4 | Ensure IROs and CP Chairs provide effective scrutiny and challenge (Ofsted Dec 2016) | AD/HoS for this service Improvement partner | • | HoS for this service and Lead IRO to audit the effectiveness of IROs on a weekly basis – 1 case per IRO, per week, based on an agreed audit tool Observations of ICPC + Reviews | Sept Oct | Learning from audits is embedded into the service. Audits over time demonstrate improved practice and better consistency. | | |
| 10.5 | CLA, CPPs, pathway plans should be SMART and well established (Ofsted Dec 2016) | AD/HoS/IROs/Lead Auditor | • | IROs and case file auditors to ensure that quality of the plan is audited fully each month and that necessary actions are taken and followed up. | From Jan 2017 and on-going | Increased percentage of work across the system where the practice standards are fully met. | ONGOING | There has been a steady increase throughout January 2017 (9.2.2017) Recent data |

| | Lead auditor/IROs/AD/H oS Improvement partner | • Child protection plans and CIN Plans need to be clear and explain what parents need to do to change their behaviour, by when, and the consequences of not sustaining any change. They must have a contingency. | From Jan 2017 and ongoing | Increased percentage of work across the system where the practice standards are fully met. | | Now being picked up in auditing activity (9.2.2017) |
|------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| | | CiN Audit | Sept 17 | | | |
| | | • CLA/CDS – deep dive re. quality | Sept 17 | | | |
| 4 | CHILDREN LOOKED | AFTER AND PERMAN | ENCE | PLANNING | | |
| 11 | Monitor the progress of children looked aft and Maths | er more closely at Key Stage 4 and pay greate | r attention to | ensuring that they achiev | ve five GCSE grad | les A* - C, including English |
| 11.1 | | CLA at key stage 4 are supported to do as well as they possibly can | Sept 2016 | Percentage of CLA achieving 5 GCSEs (A*- C, including maths and English) – September 2016 we achieved 21.7% Our target is to improve on this in 2017 | COMPLETED | Key State 2 to Key Stage 4 Purchased WIMBL a locked down tablet with revision guides and materials for all CLA in mainstream schools |

| 11.2 | Attention to attainment | Virtual Head HoS Fostering | Deliver next tranche of attachment training. To train foster carers on expectations of how to support learning | | Take up of training Including the take up of education and social care professionals. | Review for 17/18 | The 3 rd tranche training starting in September 17 will include Social Care. |
|------|--|---|--|------------------------|---|---------------------|---|
| 11.4 | Corporate Parenting strategy needs to be developed | Virtual Head | Embed joint accountability with VSGB re-attainment plus contributing factors identified in Rees Report. | Dec 2016 and termly | Improvement in the factors identified by Rees Report Positive indicators that lead to improved outcomes are enhanced. For example placement stability. | ONGOING | Monthly Corporate Parenting Boards have now been re-established and are taking place. (31 March 2017). Agenda Item requested for July 17 Board to nominate a Corporate Parenting Member to sit on the VSGB. Full Council Meeting to be provided with the Annual Report of the VS in September 17. |
| 11.5 | Development of wider participation strategy including the role of Children and young people and Care leavers in the Corporate Parenting Group | AD Education and AD Safeguarding HOS Specialist Services Virtual Head | Development of Participation strategy Proposal developed to ensure joint responsibility VH and HOS for CPG delivery and the Children in Care Council and Care leavers Council | Sept/Oct 17 | The views of young people impact upon service design and provision. | | |
| 12 | Merge the Permanend arrangements and cor | | hat permanence planning is pursued for all ch ere appropriate. | ildren in a tim | ely manner and that con | sideration is ro | utinely given to Foster to Adopt |

| | Permanence Plans | AD/HoS/Reviewing Service nence Plans Improvement re outcomes Partner | All CLA to be on a plan for permanence by the time of the second review Review permanency plans | From Jan 2017 onwards Sept/Oct 17 | Full compliance – data and auditing | | Now that we have a suite of data reflecting the journey of the child, we can monitor progress. Next progress report will be for January 2017 data (9.2.2017) Update required |
|------|--|---|--|--|---|----------------|---|
| 12.2 | for children and young people | | Care plans must be robust and include a plan for permanence | From Jan 2017 onwards | 70% target of plans to be at least RI or better | | |
| | | Performance Lead | Permanence planning case note to be developed in PARIS or Word so IROs can note when child is in their permanent placement | Oct 2017 | Target – 75% of CLA who have been in care for 12 months or more who are in their permanent placement | | |
| 12.3 | Actively consider Foster to Adopt arrangements in Permanence care planning | Head of Service Specialist Services | Provide training on Foster to Adopt process and practice standard for all new starters | Feb 2017 | Reduction of placement moves for Children moving to adoption. | Oct 17 | |
| 5 | CARE LEAN | VERS | | | | | |
| 14 | Ensure that the quality | y of pathway plans is o | consistently good and that care leavers are ac | tively encoura | ged to contribute to the | development an | d content of these plans |
| 14.3 | Young people's forum to review pathway plans on a yearly basis. | Care Leavers Forum | Establish Care Leavers' forum as key mechanism to obtain views on effective practice | | Effective and regular forum and evidence of doing something with this information to impact service delivery and development. | COMPLETED | Feedback collated December 2016 and ongoing |
| 14.4 | Personal Advisor and Assistant Manager capacity required to | DCS / AD HOS Care Leavers | Business case to increase the PA and ATM to meet the capacity issues (OFSTED July 2017) | Sept 17 | To improve outcomes for Care leavers identified through | | |

| 14.5 | enable appropriate delivery of the Care leavers service Visiting and Contact arrangements need to be in line with Regulation and discussed with young person and specified in the Pathway plan | HOS Care Leavers PM Care leavers | Clear policy and performance reporting to be based on statutory requirements. Revise Practice Standards for Care leavers services in line with Children Act | Sept 17 | EET opportunities and appropriate accommodation. Practice Standards compliant with Children Act legislation. Performance monitoring against | |
|------|---|--|--|---------|---|--|
| 14.6 | Access to public housing for care leavers is limited by a corporate failure to ensure that there is enough housing provision for young people. As a result, many care leavers live in privately-rented accommodation that lacks the security that social housing affords. | HOS Care leavers Housing Commissioning lead | Co-working with housing and commissioning- particularly in relation to homelessness reduction act. | | practice standard. Sufficiency Strategy to include Care Leavers Housing Offer. | |
| 14.7 | The local authority ring fences some apprenticeship | | | | | |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | • | 1 | r | |
|------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|
| | opportunities for | | | | | | |
| | care leavers. | | | | | | |
| | However, the | | | | | | |
| | number available | | | | | | |
| | does not | | | | | | |
| | demonstrate | | | | | | |
| | sufficient | | | | | | |
| | corporate | | | | | | |
| | commitment to | | | | | | |
| | prioritising | | | | | | |
| | employment | | | | | | |
| | opportunities for | | | | | | |
| | Care Leavers in | | | | | | |
| | the authority. | | | | | | |
| | care leavers | | | | | | |
| | Mental Health | | Re-commissioning of CAMHS service to | | Clear pathway to | | |
| | provision needs to | Commissioning lead | include offer to Care Leavers in relation to | | meeting mental health needs for Care | | |
| 14.8 | be available to | leau | emotional and mental health. | | Leavers. | | |
| | support to care | Camhs | | | | | |
| | leavers. | | | | | | |
| 6 | LEADERSH | IP AND G | OVERNANCE | | | | |
| 45 | The Chief Executive sh | ould ensure that lead | ership in Torbay is strong, consistent and sha | rply focused or | n improving and sustainir | ng outcomes for | children throughout |
| 15 | children's social servic | es | | | | | _ |
| | | | Ensure that CPB meets regularly | Dec 2016 | CPB meeting regularly | COMPLETED | CPB now meeting monthly |
| | | | | | as expected. | (FEB 2017) | (31 March 2017) CPB dashboard |
| | Corporate Daranting | Lead Member | | | | | CPB action plan |
| 15.2 | Corporate Parenting Board | AD / Head of | | | | | |
| | bourd | Specialist Services | • Develop CP strategy, Plan, refresh | July 2017 | Clear strategy in place | COMPLETED | |
| | | | Pledge | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | • | Launch Pledge | Sept 2017 | Pledge launched and circulated | ONGOING | |
|------|--|--|-----|--|----------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 15.3 | Corporate Parenting Group | Lead Member AD/Head of Specialist Services | • | Attendance of Care leavers | Dec 17 | Corporate direction for Care leavers | | |
| 15.4 | Achievements of Children in Care and Care Leavers are celebrated. | Lead Member DCS AD Head of Specialist Services / Virtual Head | • | Proposal developed for Children in care and Care leavers awards event | Sept 17 | Celebration of Children in care and Care leavers event | | |
| 16 | Improve the quality of rigorous action planni | • • | eme | nt and monitoring through an improved a | nd robust suit | e of data, effective and c | hallenging mana | gement oversight and |
| | | | • | Deliver new online reporting tool for all managers and populate with live performance data (first phase) | Dec 17 | Team managers and Services Managers critique performance and address areas for development in a timely way. | Ongoing | Online Tool live and available to Service Managers. Introductory sessions with all managers have been completed. 9.12.16 |
| 16.1 | Deliver Management reporting tool platform | Principal Performance Manager | • | Identify gaps in delivery and plan whole system delivery | Sept 17 | SLT approve timetable for delivery | | Team Managers, HOS and Performance Manager meet together with the AD on a monthly basis in order to track and monitor performance on the PIs. Most PIs (with some exceptions) now have data broken down to team and individual levels. (31 March 2017) |

| | | | Establish drill down function on key performance data to see practitioner and team performance Deliver to all TM/PM across the system | Dec 2017 | Team managers and HoS critique performance and address areas for development in a timely way. These 'front sheets' for each PI to show, at a glance, how a team is doing month on month and in relation to other teams. | | Second phase of performance management involving service and team managers is being launch 13.12.16 Apart from some new Pls, over 20 have been broken down to team and individual levels and are being scrutinised by Team Managers, AD, HOS and Performance Manager on a monthly basis. (31 March 2017) |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------|--|---------|---|
| | | | Build further PM and service views | Dec 2017 | More robust and clear management information. | ONGOING | Second phase of performance management involving service and team managers is being launched 13/12/16 8.12.16 |
| 16.2 | Develop and implement data addressing areas for | Principal Performance Manager | Develop data on timeliness of decision making, visiting and assessment timeliness. (Data Gaps noted by Ofsted are addressed.)- first phase Review of reporting following Ofsted Monitoring visit July 17 | Oct 17 | 70% target of practice standards where there is evidence of sustained improvement in performance | REVIEW | Data on MASH decision making and visits during CIN and CPP and timeliness of assessments improved on base line Oct 15. Areas of lower performance on 1 st visits are being challenged. 9.12.16 |
| | drift and delay | | Refine views of key practice compliance measures (2nd phase) Review following requirements in light of Ofsted July 17 visit | Oct 2017 | Full suite of KPI's | REVIEW | A more comprehensive set of KPIs that build on existing practice standards will be launched with TMs on 13.12.16 |

| | | | | | | | | Now launched and scrutinised at monthly performance meetings (31 March 2017) |
|------|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| | | Assistant Director, Principal Performance Manager, Principal Business Support Manager and HoS | • | TMS and HOS to meet on a monthly basis with AD to review progress and agree actions – regular performance meeting | Jan 2017 | Performance culture embedded at Team Manager level, so progress can be tracked and action taken accordingly. | COMPLETED AND ONGOING | This work has started and a day with Team Managers will be held on 13 December to re-launch the performance framework. 8.12.2016 |
| 16.3 | Re-establish performance management routine and embed performance within the culture | | • | DCS and AD to meet with HOS and Performance Manager on a monthly basis to review progress and agree actions. | July 2016 and ongoing | Senior Managers own the data and take action accordingly | COMPLETED AND ONGOING | Key PIs broken down to team and individual levels and Team Managers meet with AD to scrutinise the data on a monthly basis – now established practice. (31 March 2017) |
| | | | • | TSCB performance reporting (CS element) | | TSCB own the data and understand trends and issues needing action in key areas. | REVIEW | |
| | | | • | Develop routine reports on the quality outcomes of case audits KPIs via LOGI | | Overview of practice quality readily available to DCS,AD, team and service managers every month | Ongoing | Overview of case audits begins to be reported in monthly meetings |

| | Lead Audi | Develop routine reports on what children are saying Review use of MOMO Consider participation strategy | May 2017 Oct 17 | % of CLA who have participated | | CLA overview of feedback begins to be reported in monthly meetings |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|--|
| PARIS f16.4reflectaddition | practice and Performance | Develop, refine PARIS forms as specified by Ofsted recommendations and remove and reduce unused and forms and fields from PARIS. Phase 2 Legal tracker Fostering service electronic recording CLA review forms Refine Early recording Case supervision form Professional supervision form Refine Missing and CSE capture Chronology of wks required Upgrade Paris to keep in line with latest releases Chronology of work | Sept 2017 Sept 17 Mar 2017 Sept 17 | Number of forms revised (and simplified) since April 2016 CS staff benefit from removal of known system errors | COMPLETED AND ONGOING | Q1 Introduce event based case notes – setting up event based notes , referral return letter Q2 – Address LADO, IRO and Single Assessment, Sos Plan, Audit tool, Q3 – Address recording of non CIN, additional case notes for PLO and Case supervision 9.12.16 Q4 legal tracker Start working on Fostering and finalise Adoption, Perm planning and personal supervision 9.12.16 Q4 latest version due to be rolled out in February – testing of new version already underway 9.12.16 |

| 16.5 | | Principal Commissioner – Special Projects Lead Auditor | Report on YP Engagement with CS | Oct 17 | % YP who have engaged in service development | | |
|------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| 16.6 | Performance information and data to identify strengths and weaknesses and track outcomes for care leavers is not complete and of the quality required for the delivery of the service | Performance lead HOS Care leavers | OFSTED 2017 Important omissions in the collection of performance information in relation to care leavers remain. Senior leaders do not have access to data regarding the frequency of visits to care leavers keeping in touch with care leavers and the number of care leavers placed out of area, the stability of their accommodation or the number who contribute to their pathway plan. | Sept 17 | To ensure the data is correct and in line with Statutory Guidance To ensure management oversight is in place within the Care leaver's service to ensure improved outcomes for Young people. | | |
| 17 | Ensure that audits are organisation | routinely embedded | and learning from audit activity and training is | s systematical | ly evaluated and contributed an | utes to a learning | culture with the |
| 17.1 | Implement a new audit tool | Lead Auditor | Develop and implement new case audit tool Review/update Audit tool following Ofsted Monitoring visit | Sept 17 | New audit tool on PARIS | COMPLETED | Quarterly audit report demonstrates compliance with new audit arrangements |
| | | | Update training and guidance to auditors via HoS | | Audits completed each month. | Sept 17 | |
| 17.2 | Improve Audit Activity | AD/HoS/Lead Auditor | All requested audits to be completed without exceptions OVERSIGHT OF Audit activity to be implemented. Review following Ofsted July 17 | From Jan 2017 and on-going | 90% compliance minimum | COMPLETED | Quarterly report March 2017 will evidence Evidenced in MARCH 2017 report (31 march 2017) All completed with wash up sessions in April (31 March 2017) |

| 17.3 | Lack of observations of practice by SLT/HoS | DCS/AD/HoS/Lead Auditor | Actions following Audit activity to be dealt with immediately + learning shared with service areas within the month Programme of observations to be developed Process to be developed and agreed | Sept 17 3 rd week Sept | Actions for audits completed within timescale Senior leadership will have understanding of practice across the services. | | |
|------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---------|--|
| 18 | Ensure that Leadershi | p and Management of | HoS and TMs is robust | | - | | |
| | | | Progress to be reported on by lead auditor | Oct 2017 | Evidence of improvement | ONGOING | |
| | Supervision needs to take place and better | AD/HoS/Lead | One consistent template and practice guidance to be issued to staff | Feb 2017 | Consistent practice across the board | | |
| 18.2 | evidenced (Ofsted DEC 2016) | auditor | Quarterly supervision audits to take place | From Sept 2017 | Consistent practice across the board, evidenced through case auditing. | | |
| 18.3 | Practice decisions and governance structure needs to be in place | AD | • Fortnightly HoS meeting with AD to be set up, so that decisions are taken and discussed by the leadership group | Dec 2016 | Shared ownership and structure for decision making | | |
| 18.5 | Development of HOS in relation to management and leadership of their service within a whole system response | AD/HoS Improvement partner | Away session (1 day) for HOS on roles and expectations and agreed work plan going forward. Link HoS with matched partner from Hampshire | Day one – 16th August 27 – 29 Sept | HOS management group to develop ownership and responsibility for delivery both at a strategic and operational level of their services and impact and influence across the Children's and wider systems. | | |

| 18.6 | Team managers understanding the vision and focus for improvement | AD/HoS | AD to attend all managers' meetings in Sept/Oct HofS to have a standing item in management meetings re Ofsted improvement | Oct 2017 | Leadership and management is displayed in the authority. | |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 18.7 | Managers and staff to understand the vision and improvement journey | SLT | • All staff away day | Sept 2017 | To ensure all staff have a clear understanding of the vision for Torbay Children services and the improvement journey to enable this to be achieved. | |
| 18.8 | To ensure the quality of team managers in relation to safeguarding and decision making. | HoS Improvement partner | Appraisals to be completed to ensure roles and expectations are clear and to inform professional development plans for all team managers. Remote dip sampling of cases | Oct 2017 Sept – Dec 17 | To encourage retention and development of team management level. To deliver robust outcomes for children young people and families | |
| 18.9 | Concerns in relation to the timeliness and quality of recordings of visits and the rational for decision making for children and families. | HoS Business Support Improvement partner | To review all open cases to ensure that all have children and young people have updated: Chronology, assessment, plan visit recordings and supervision. That management decisions have a clearly articulated rationale recorded. Spreadsheet completed for all areas | By Sept and ongoing monthly to be reviewed at HOS meeting. | To ensure that children & young people are safe and there is no drift and delay and all information on the system is up to date | |
| 18.10 | The quality of management oversight does not provide enough | AD HOS | • OFSTED 2017 identified concerns in relation to Management oversight as it does not always sufficiently recognise or challenge poor practice. The quality and | Sept 17 | Management oversight is seen in all audits and supervision records. | |

| | scrutiny of the quality of work. | Lead auditor Improvement partner | timeliness of case recording are of concern and delays experienced by young people are not routinely identified by managers, and subsequent management plans, when in place, lack timescales Mentoring and support to HOS and TM | 27-29 th Sept | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 18.11 | Information would indicate that visits are not on the system or of the quality required in line with practice standards. | HoS | To review all open cases and confirm or take action to ensure that visits including failed visits to children/young people are recorded and of the quality required /evidenced on the child's record | Over view provided by Sept All missing visits to be on the system by Oct 17 | To ensure that the Child/young person's record is up to date to ensure safeguarding actions are appropriate in any crisis. To provide a lifelong accurate record for a young person. | |
| 18.12 | Practice standards in relation to recording are not being met | HOS to develop a plan to update with staff | • To reiterate practice standards relating to recording expectations | Sept 2017 | To ensure that the Child/young person's record is up to date to ensure safeguarding actions are appropriate in any crisis. To provide a lifelong accurate record for a young person. | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|----------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | CHILDREN IN NEED OF HELP OF PROTECTION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Ensure that assessme | nts are timely, propor | tionate and effectively identify the risks and i | needs and pro | tective factors, leading to | appropriate and | l measurable plans | | | | |
| 1.1 | Assessments should be completed within 20 days, with exceptions being completed within 45 | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service | Assessment Timeliness practice standards to be revised | Dec 2016 | Standards to be understood and implemented by staff. | COMPLETED | Next phase of Performance monitoring on this measure underway. Current performance has been scrutinised and benchmarked against a good authority. This data is one of a new comprehensive suite of key indicators being shared at Team manager level – launch of this approach will start 13/12/12. 9.12.16 | | | | |
| | completed within 45 days | SASF | Practice standards to be implemented for Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. | Dec 2016 | Standards to be understood and implemented by staff. Target for 45 days – 83% | COMPLETED | This indicator is now regularly scrutinised at monthly Team Manager performance meetings and we this is an indicator where sustained improvement is required. | | | | |
| | | | Performance reporting to specify the distribution of working days from the | Phase 1 completed | Increase in percentage of assessments | | An action plan is in place including Business Support intervention, introducing a | | | | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|--|--|---|--|--|-------------------|---|
| | | | referral outcome to assessment authorisation. | Phase 2 Mar 2017 (LOGI) version | completed within 20 days. Target – 59.1% | | shorter assessment (from a good authority) for specific cases only and enhanced Team Management scrutiny. (31 March 2017) |
| | | | Short Assessment Tool to be introduced | May 17 | Increased proportion of Assessments completed within 20 days. | COMPLETED | |
| | | | CP Enquiry (S47) practice standards to be revised. | Dec 2016 | Staff understand and implement | COMPLETED | Performance on this measure shows sustained improvement at/to the planned levels 8.12.16 |
| 1.2 | S47 assessments to be completed within 15 working days | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | Practice standards to be implemented for Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. | Dec 2016 | Staff understand and implement | COMPLETED | Practice standard issued and clarified with staff backed up with regular scrutiny of performance data and system changes that automatically notify HoS on all completed Sc 47s 9.12.16 |
| | | | • Heads of Service to comply with management oversight appendix within Scheme of Delegation in relation to S47 authorisation. | Dec 2016 | All HoS understand and comply | COMPLETED | Scheme of Delegation launched with staff 13/12/16 |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|--|--|----------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| | | | Performance reporting to specify working days from strategy meeting outcome to conclusion of S47. | Phase 1 completed | Target – 95% all CP investigations completed within timescales. 70% of all ICPCs to be held within 15 working days of the initial strategy meeting/discussion. | COMPLETED | Phase 2 of performance monitoring launching 13/12/16. 9.12.16 This is a measure where we are consistency above 90% - this continues to be monitored at monthly performance meetings. (31 March 2017) |
| | | | Assessment Quality practice standards to be revised. | Feb 2017 | To be issued, understood by staff and implemented and evidenced in case file auditing. | COMPLETED | |
| 1.3 | Child's record identifies risk, needs and protective factors | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | Practice standards to reflect consistent use of Signs of Safety risk assessment and danger statements. | Dec 2016 | All staff understand and comply, as evidenced in case file audits | COMPLETED | Audit Moderation meeting with HoS completed November 16. 9.12.16 |
| | | | Practice standards to be implemented for Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. | Jan 2017 | | COMPLETED | Practitioner requested changes to assessment and Section 47 investigations made live on system W/E 4/11/16 9.12.16 |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------|--|
| | | | • 5 day Signs of Safety training commissioned for social work staff during November 2016. | Jan 2017 | Training delivered and staff using it in their daily work. | COMPLETED | 60 Staff Sws, TMs, IROs and HoS completed 5 day training. 9.12.16 |
| | | | • All assessments and plans to include, as a matter of course, whether/not a child is at risk of CSE and if so, whether the risk is low, medium or high. | | This should be evidenced as part of case auditing processes. 70% target of case audits which rated the quality of assessments as RI, good or outstanding | COMPLETED | CSE specific risk assessment form due to go live on PARIS April 17. To enable a better appreciation of CSE Risk, Nature and Distribution within case load. SoS Handbook issued to all SW's May 17. |
| | | | Section on assessment for the person completing the assessment to provide their analysis and rationale for plan/intervention | Jan 2017 | Evidence of practitioner analysis from audit activity | COMPLETED | This is now in place and well received by practitioners. (9.2.2017) |
| 1.4 | Ensure that every assessment contains robust analysis (Ofsted December 2016) | AD/Heads of Service and Lead Auditor | 3 workshops with HoS, TMs, ATMs, IROs to be set up to provide clarity on what constitutes good assessment/analysis | 22/23 February; 1/2/7/9 March | Auditors know what good looks like | COMPLETED | Sessions have been booked and all auditors have to sign attendance. (9.2.2017). |
| | | | • TMs must sign off all assessments and should not sign off without seeing robust analysis. HoS to ensure this is audited each month and necessary actions taken and followed up | Monthly audits from Jan 2017 | Audit activity seeing consistent application | COMPLETED | All workshops have now been held and there is a final wash up session scheduled for April 2017. |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|--------|--|----------|--|-------------------|---|
| | | | Progress to be reported in next audit report (and on-going) | Feb 2017 | Evidence of progress | COMPLETED | Team Managers are now routinely signing off assessments. (31 March 2017) |
| | | | Written guidance to immediately be issued to staff. | Dec 2017 | All front line staff have received this and are following it. | COMPLETED | All staff aware and have been reinforced in HoS meeting with Managers and Practitioners |
| | Ensure that staff understand the process for strategy meetings/S47 enquiries and that decisions are recorded (Ofsted December 2016) | • | Working Together to be issued to all front line staff on a recorded basis | Feb 2017 | All front line staff have received this and understand it and sign to say they have received it and followed up in supervisions. | COMPLETED | All front line staff have received this and understand it and sign to say they have received it and followed up in supervisions. |
| 1.5 | | AD/HoS | • Strategy meetings must be minuted and report the purpose, who attended the meeting, who will be seen, by when and by whom. Meeting pro- forma and guidance to be issued to staff. | Feb 2017 | All staff following these expectations | COMPLETED | A new proforma and practice guidance (covering these issues) has been issued to staff and is now being routinely used. 31 March 2017 |
| | | | Audit proforma to include specific section on strategy meetings | Feb 2017 | Audit evidences good minutes and tracking from strategy meetings. | COMPLETED | The section on strategy meetings has now been added to the audit form and practice guidance. (31 March 2017) |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|--|---|----------|--|-------------------|--|
| 1.6 | L.6 Consistent application of CP thresholds and CP process | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | Heads of Service to comply with management oversight appendix to Scheme of Delegation in relation to S47 authorisation. | Dec 2016 | This should be evidenced in case file audits. | COMPLETED | The number on plans has risen significantly since July 2017. This is subject to performance management scrutiny and a thematic audit review – early indicators are that this links to a change in practice guidance. |
| | | | Further child Protection training to be facilitated for all Team Managers and Chairs / IROs. | Mar2017 | All staff are clear about thresholds. | ONGOING | Further CP Training to be facilitated with Improvement Partner. |
| | | | One consistent pro-forma is needed for Core Groups and Minutes should be available at all times. (OFSTED DEC 2016). | Feb 2017 | Consistent proforma is issued and expectations made clear to staff and picked up in audit. | COMPLETED | One consistent proforma now in place (31 March 2017) |
| 1.7 | Reduce number of single assessments that result in no further intervention | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF | MASH Operational practice standards to be revised and implemented. | Jan 2017 | Issued to staff, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | The number and proportion of single assessments that do not lead to any further role have increased so far this year. This is understood to be linked to the operation of a SoS approach. |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------|---|-------------------|--|
| | | | Additional descriptors to be written into single assessment to identify interventions completed | Dec 2016 | Picked up in case file audits | COMPLETED | Additional descriptors of assessment outcomes are still to go live on PARIS. 9.12.16 |
| | | | Assessment Quality practice standards to be implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. | Feb 2017 | Issued to staff, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | |
| 2 | Ensure that timely de | cisions are made on co | ontacts and referrals and that initial visits to c | hildren are pr | ompt | | |
| | | | • MASH operational procedures to be written and implemented within the MASH. | Oct 15 | Circulated to staff, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | |
| 2.1 | All contacts/referrals to be screened within 24 hours. | Head of Service MASH/ SA | Performance reporting to specify distribution of working days from contact to referral outcome. | Phase 1 | Able to target where intervention is needed. Target – 85% of contacts where a decision was made within 24 hours | COMPLETED | Data is routinely and regularly scrutinised. 85 % of all contacts to CS now receive a decision within 24 hours, a further 10% are made within 2 days. Delays in decision making are linked to the need to seek further clarification from referrers and locating other professionals for further information. |
| | | | | | | | The next phase of performance data showing |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|---|----------|---|-------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | service and team manager's views will be launched on 13/12/12. 9.12.16 In February 2017, over 80% of contacts had a decision within 24 hours. (31 March 2017) |
| 2.2 | Children in need to be seen within 5 working days of referral outcome. | Head of Service MASH/ SA | Child Seen practice standards to be revised | Jan 2017 | To be issued, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | Compliance has improved significantly against historical baselines but is still too variable across and within services. The best levels of compliance are within the Assessment Service and the worst are within the Disability Service these issues are being challenged and addressed in service plans, performance reporting and performance meetings/scrutiny. 8.12.16 |
| | | | Practice standards to be implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. | Jan 2017 | To be issued, understood and implemented – case file audits. | COMPLETED | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|--|--|--|----------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| | | | Performance data to specify out of time assessments scheduled in that reporting month and the distribution of working days until child seen. | Phase 1 completed | 90% target - referrals where the child was seen within 5 working days (SA) | | In February 2017, performance data demonstrated an improvement – to 72.9% A particular team and individuals are being targeted to make improvements. (31 March 2017). |
| 2.3 | Children in need of protection to be seen within 1 working day of S47 | Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service | Child Seen practice standards to be revised | Dec 2016 | Issued, understood and implemented – case file audits. | COMPLETED | Compliance levels have not been sustained these issues are being challenged and addressed in service plans, performance reporting and performance Meetings/scrutiny 8.12.16 |
| | starting. | SASF | Practice standards to be implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. | Dec 2016 | Issued, understood and implemented – case file audits | COMPLETED | The next phase of performance data showing service and team manager's views will be launched on 13/12/12. 9.12.16 |
| 3 | Ensure that 16-17 yea | r olds who are homel | ess are given the opportunity to have a compr | ehensive asse | ssment and help and sup | port according to | o their needs |
| 3.1 | Referrals are made for all young people who present as homeless | YOT Manager | • Develop practice standards for Youth Homelessness Prevention Service to ensure that all homelessness is recorded for 16-18 year olds. | | Practice standards issued, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | 9.12.16 |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|-------------|--|---------------|--|-------------------|---|
| | | | • Develop and implement process for referral for 16/17 year olds with Youth Homelessness Prevention service. | | Staff clear as evidenced in case file audits. | COMPLETED | |
| | | | Agree Screening process with MASH and implement. | | 100% of all young people who present as homeless are appropriately recorded as homeless. All of these young people are referred for an assessment to MASH. | COMPLETED | More young people are now being subject to social work assessments and several have entered care as a result. |
| | | | Coordinate weekly tracking meeting for Social Workers completing assessments and Youth Homelessness Prevention workers. | | | COMPLETED | |
| | | | • Single Team created to align Housing and Social Care activity for vulnerable people. | March 2017 | | COMPLETED | |
| 3.2 | All young people receive the opportunity for an assessment in line | YOT Manager | • Develop practice standards and implement in IYSS to inform process for youth homelessness assessments. | | To be issued, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | |
| | with the Southwark Judgement | | Produce guidance on when an assessment is necessary and implement | | To be issued, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | More young people are now being subject to social work assessments and |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|--|-------------|---|--------|--|-------------------|---|
| | | | between Youth Homelessness and IYSS Management Team. | | | | several have entered care as a result. 9.12.16 |
| | | | • Train YOT Social Workers in Signs of safety. | | | COMPLETED | |
| | | | Train YOT Social Workers in Single Assessments. | | | COMPLETED | |
| | | | • Develop youth homelessness tracking report. | | Evidence that 100% of young people who meet the criteria for assessment are given the opportunity to have an assessment | COMPLETED | 100% of young people who are referred for an assessment are now given the opportunity to have one as recorded on the Youth Homelessness referral tracker. |
| 3.3 | Assessments lead to an offer of help and support where needed | YOT Manager | Develop and implement new practice standards for assessment and management oversight in IYSS. For process of assessment and management oversight. | Nov 16 | Issued, understood and implemented. | COMPLETED | Performance of IYSS is part of the data sets used across Children Services. The % of CYP entering care has risen as anticipated 9.12.16 |
| 3.4 | | | • Ensure that SW in IYSS complete single assessments. | Nov 16 | Assessments lead to an offer of suitable help and evidenced through case file audits. | COMPLETED | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | Ensure that all children who go missing from home or care are offered a timely and comprehensive return interview and that information from these interviews is collated inform effective targeting of preventative and protective services | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2 | Monitor and analyse information from return home interviews in order to improve future practice | ATMIFSS CS Performance Lead HOS for Safeguarding and QA | all young people who go missing to be discussed at the weekly multi-agency Missing Monday Meeting | Sept 2016 and ongoing | | COMPLETED | All missing episodes and return home interviews are discussed at Missing Monday Meetings with follow up action identified. Individuals of concern along with locations and trends are escalated to the MACSE forum. All information is held on the Missing Tracker which is used to monitor cases. (11/04/17) ATM IFSS will attend all Missing Monday meetings and MACSE to provide management input at multi-agency meetings. | | | | |
| | | | Complete TSCB MACA audit to look at the quality and impact of return home interviews and disseminate learning. | Mar 2017 | | COMPLETED | The TSCB undertook a thematic audit of return home interviews in March 2017 and are due to report on the findings to the TSCB Delivery Group on the 22nd May. (11/04/17) New practice standards have been issued to staff in April 2017. (11/04/17) | | | | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| 2 | PARTNERS | SHIP WOR | KING | | | | |
| 6 | With partners, ensure | that multi-agency thr | esholds are understood and consistently appl | ied across the | partnership | | |
| 6.1 | Develop an early help strategy and pathway for Torbay | AD/HoS/TSCB | Multi-agency workshops between Dec 2016 and April 2017 to agree:- Shared vision and language for Early Help in Torbay Fit for purpose threshold document agreed Pathways, processes and paperwork agreed Interventions | Dec 2016 – April 2017 | Clear strategy and precise guidance that is understood and applied by the multi- agency group. Thresholds understood and applied by the multi- agency group. | COMPLETED | Multiagency workshops have been held and an Early Help Steering Group is in place. Strategy document and thresholds document to go to TSCB for sig-off on 15 June 2017, with a multi-agency launch in July 2017. |
| 7 | Work effectively with | partnerships to ensur | e that children receive timely and effective ea | urly help and a | ssessments and plans are | e in place for eac | h child |
| 7.1 | Single Point of Access | AD/HoS | • Develop 1 front door for early help and statutory services. Staffing , paperwork and com's to partner agencies to take place in Jan/Feb 2017 | End Feb 2017 | Improved and consistent thresholds | COMPLETED | One front door went live on 1 March 2017. A comprehensive step up/down process has now been issued to staff, to use with immediate effect (31 March 2017) |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|---|--------------------------------------|--|---------------|---|-------------------|--|
| 8 | Ensure that the thresh | hold for a referral to t | he Designated Officer is well understood acros | s the partner | ship | | |
| | | HOS for Safeguarding and QA | Develop and implement a set of LADO practice standards | Nov 16 | Issued, understood and implemented across the multi- agency group. | COMPLETED | Review quarterly monitoring data to evidence this position |
| 8.1 | Ensure that the threshold for a referral to the designated officer is well understood | CS Performance Lead HOS for | Deliver awareness raising sessions on LADO role across partnership | Mar 2017 | Develop improved understanding of the role | COMPLETED | 20 workshops and presentations have been delivered to various partner agencies and groups of staff throughout 2016/17. (11/04/17) |
| | across the partnership | Safeguarding and QA | Develop PARIS templates to ensure that all LADO activity is recorded on PARIS and can be reported on | Mar 2017 | Accurate recording and tracking | COMPLETED | New forms built in PARIS and went live W/E 21/10/16. 9.12.16 |
| | | | • Complete and sign off annual report for 2015/16 | Nov 2016 | Highlight activity for 15/16 | COMPLETED | Annual Report signed off. |
| 9 | With partners, ensure | that timely and effec | tive services are in place, particularly in relation | on to domesti | c abuse, adult mental he | alth, CAMHS and | emergency duty service |
| 9.1 | Ensure that domestic abuse work has a clear strategy and action plan | Children's Commissioner / TSCB | Convene multi agency workshop to review current arrangements and begin to shape future provision | | | | |
| 9.2 | EDS provides a timely and effective | Children's Commissioner / | Work with Improvement Partner to review Out of Hours arrangements | April 2018 | | | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|------|--|--|--|---------------|--|-------------------|--|
| | service to children out of hours | TSCB' HoS Targeted Intervention | within the context of the new Delivery Model | | | | |
| 3 | SAFEGUA | RDING AN | D QUALITY ASSURAN | ICE | | | |
| 10 | Strengthen the qualit information | y assurance role in Ind | ependent Reviewing Officer and Child Protec | tion and Chai | rs and ensure that reviews | s and conferenc | es result in effective |
| 10.1 | Recruit and retain IRO and QA roles | HoS Safeguarding and QA | Recruit to vacant roles | | 100% IRO workforce | COMPLETED | IRO vacancies and management roles have been filled. One IRO vacancy currently out to advert and we have had 2 credible applications. (31 March 2017)` |
| | Implement Signs of Safety Approach | | Ensure CP Chairs trained in SOS Approach | Nov 2016 | 100% IRO compliance with training | COMPLETED | All Chairs have attended the 2 day Advanced and the 5 day Practice Lead course which took place in November 2016 (11/04/17) |
| 10.2 | | HOS Safeguarding and QA / Senior IRO | Introduce Signs of Safety as a method to conduct CPCs | Nov 2016 | 100% compliance – IRO effectiveness audits audits | COMPLETED | All CPCs are now conducted using the Signs of Safety Framework. |
| | | | Develop and implement a set of practice standards for CP Chairs and IROs | Dec 2016 | Circulated, understood and implemented, so that IROs are very clear about their core tasks, roles and responsibilities. | COMPLETED | |

<u>Torbay Children's Services: Improvement Action Tracker</u> <u>Actions Completed As At 1st September 2017</u>

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|------|---|--|---|--|--|-------------------|--|
| | | | Develop SARS practice standards | Jan 2017 | Rolled out, understood and implemented so there is improved and consistent practice. | COMPLETED | Changes in PARIS have been made to capture the additional data required by the service. Half of the data report has been built. 9.12.16 |
| 10.3 | Monitor and analyse service specific performance information | HOS Safeguarding and QA CS Performance Lead | Data to include a regular measure on the timeliness of ICPCs. (OFSTED DEC 2016) | Phase 1 completed Phase 2 Mar 2017 (LOGI) version | Target percentage of 95%ICPCs being help within timescales should be the target | COMPLETED | Data set now included this data and it is analysed on a monthly basis. (9.2.2017) |
| | | HOS Safeguarding and QA | | | | | |
| | | | Undertake a themed audit on repeat CPPs | Jan 2017 | Thresholds understood and applied consistently and that quality of child protection planning is robustly protecting children. | COMPLETED | This audit has now been completed and the report will be available at the next Team Managers Performance Meeting |
| 10.4 | Ensure IROs and CP Chairs provide effective scrutiny and challenge (Ofsted Dec 2016) | AD/HoS for this service | Hampshire colleagues to visit to ensure that the IRO audit tool is robust, that auditors know what good looks like and to complete seminars with IROs in their role in scrutiny and challenge | Jan – April 2017 | IROs providing robust and appropriate scrutiny and challenge and knowing what good looks like IROs clear about their core business | COMPLETED | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|-----|-------|------|--|------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| | | | Letter to IROs from AD to clarify expectations | Jan 2017 | | COMPLETED | |
| | | | Number of DRPs (in relation to assessment and planning to increase and Lead IRO/HOS to sign off DRPs before they go out. | Jan 2017 and on- going | Increase by 10% of DRPs being raised based on quality of assessments and plans. DRPs to be of good quality and targeting issues appropriately To share data and action plan for improvement – effectiveness audits of IROs | COMPLETED | In 2015/16 14 DRPs were issued, this increased to 71 for 2016/17. However, following a review by Hampshire colleagues in January 2017 the threshold for DRPs was considered too low. The DRP process has since been revised. Whilst this will result in a decrease in the number of formal DRPs it will result in an increase in the number of IRO case note recordings which demonstrate informal challenge (11/04/17) |
| | | | Introduce monthly team performance meetings | Feb 2017 and ongoing | | COMPLETED | |
| | | | Establish routine of practice observations of CP Chairs and IROs annually | | Reassurance that IROs are acting as per the IRO handbook. | COMPLETED | Hampshire colleagues observed practice in February 2017 – CP and CLA (11/04/17) |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) | |
|------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | ns should be IART and well | 3 workshops with HoS, TMs, ATMs, Pas and IROs to provide clarity on what constitutes a good plan | 22/23 Feb and 1/2/7/9 | Auditors clear on what good looks like | COMPLETED | All auditors have to attend all 3 seminars on a signed for basis. (9.2.2017) These have now been completed, with a mop-up session in April 2017 (31 March 2017) | |
| | | | | One consistent pro-forma per category of plan should be issued to staff and decisions about whether PARIS or Word | March 2017 | All staff using consistent proforma | COMPLETED | |
| 10.5 | CLA, CPPs, pathway plans should be SMART and well | | IROs to raise DRPs when plans are not SMART and robust. | From Jan 2017 and ongoing | Poor plans are appropriately challenged. | COMPLETED | There is evidence that plans are now being challenged by the IROs, after an analysis of recent DRPs (31 March 2017) | |
| | established (Ofsted Dec 2016) | | Case file audit tool to be amended so there is a clearer expectation on what constitutes a good plan. | Jan 2017 | Issued and expectations clarified. Inadequate audits to be re-audited within 2 months. Case file auditing and moderation | COMPLETED | Completed and issued (9.2.2017) | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) | | | | |
|------|--|--------------|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | CHILDREN | LOOKED | AFTER AND PERMAN | ENCE F | PLANNING | | | | | | |
| 11 | Monitor the progress of children looked after more closely at Key Stage 4 and pay greater attention to ensuring that they achieve five GCSE grades A* - C, including English and Maths | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11.1 | Monitoring progress at key stage 4 | Virtual Head | To use the current tracking system to implement Progress, Review, Intervention and Monitoring (PRIM) meetings on half term basis. Refocus Virtual School Governing Board scrutiny on improving outcomes for CYP | Half Termly Termly | Meeting or exceeding expected progress | COMPLETED | These arrangements have enabled the better identification of those CLA that are on the cusp of underperformance and intervene accordingly PRIM Meetings have taken place for all pupils. VSGB has discussed the role and function of the Board and increased challenged, introduced a new performance dash board and a recorded Q and A process. | | | | |
| | | | Develop monitoring system based on learning from Rees Report | Dec 2016 | | COMPLETED | Rees Report criteria being used for the reporting to the VSGB and Corporate Parenting report given in the same format. | | | | |
| 11.2 | Attention to attainment | Virtual Head | • Deliver next tranche of attachment training. | | Take up of training | COMPLETED | Around 20 practitioners have completed attachment training with a further 4- | | | | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|------|--|---|--|---|---|-------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | scheduled within the current programme. 2 nd tranche of training will be completed at the end of June 17 with some delegates receiving Masters Points. |
| | | | Develop the Designated Teacher Handbook. | | | COMPLETED | |
| | | | • Purchase and use GCSE pod. | | CLA progress for pupils using the GCSE pod | COMPLETED | VS have engaged with Young People and produced a film to depict the quality use of Pupil Premium Plus, which has been shared with Headteachers. |
| 11.3 | LAC should not be routinely taken out of school to meet with social workers (DEC 2016) | HOS | Clear message to be given to all staff IROs need to ensure this is not happening. | January 2017 | Staff are clear regarding expectations and are only visiting children in school by exception. | COMPLETED | All staff very clear about expectations and any exceptions to be agreed by HOS, but only in exceptional circumstances. (9.2.2017) |
| 12 | Merge the Permanence arrangements and cor | | at permanence planning is pursued for all chi are appropriate. | ldren in a tim | ely manner and that cons | ideration is rout | inely given to Foster to Adopt |
| 12.1 | Permanence planning is considered at the earliest stage and | AD/Head of Service Specialist Services | Revise permanency policy and practice guidance. | Issued by end of February 2017 | One consistent approach to achieving permanency that is clear to staff – both documents to be | COMPLETED | Policy and practice guidance has now been issued to staff and HOS. Workshops undertaken in April and May 2017 |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) |
|------|---|--|--|----------|--|-------------------|--|
| | revisited throughout the child's journey | | | | rolled out, understood and implemented – IRO scrutiny and audit processes. | | |
| | | | Revise permanence Panel Terms of Reference and put into practice guidance. | Jan 2017 | | COMPLETED | This meeting is now working more effectively and tracking actions, in order to evidence completion. (9.2.2017) Staff workshops set up for April 2017 (31 March 2017). Strategically linked to Permanence Tracker Meeting. |
| | | | Provide training on permanence Planning policy and practice standards | Apr 2017 | | COMPLETED | Staff Workshops undertaken in April and May 2017. |
| 12.3 | Actively consider Foster to Adopt arrangements in | Head of Service | Foster to Adopt Policy to be reviewed in line with Adopt South West | Jan 2017 | COMPLETED 2% increase in number of children with a plan for foster to adopt from 2016 baseline. | COMPLETED | First foster to Adopt placement is now underway 9.12.16. |
| | Permanence care planning | anence care Specialist Services • Deve | Develop and implement Foster to Adopt Practice Standard | Jan 2017 | | COMPLETED | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) | | | | | |
|------|--|--------------|---|---------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | _ | CARE LEAVERS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Develop ways for care | YOT Manager | ar and effective advice and guidance on their Review and improve communication of care leaver entitlements , IAG and next steps via social media | next steps, w | hich include more formal Care leavers know their entitlement in the various communication forms. | communication COMPLETED | to them of their entitlements Hard copies and electronic copies available not to all Care Leaver's on their entitlements. (31 March 2017) | | | | | |
| 13.1 | Improve the delivery and access to information for care leavers | to | Deliver revised care leaver booklet | Dec 2016 | 70 % of Eligible and relevant and former relevant that said they had accessed the website | COMPLETED | No mechanism for asking young people if they've seen the website currently running via PARIS. | | | | | |
| | | | Re-fresh care leaver website | Dec 2016 | Number of website visit by monitoring usage | COMPLETED | Outcomes- Web traffic indicates that since the introduction of the new website 30% of Care Leavers have been using the Website every month. This is an improvement from 16%. | | | | | |
| | | | Expand and increase social media presence of care leaver service | Dec 2016 | Number of former relevant and relevant CYP in contact need target | COMPLETED | 89% in touch | | | | | |

| NOS | What? | Who? | How? | When? | Success measures | Current Status | Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--|----------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14 | Ensure that the quality of pathway plans is consistently good and that care leavers are actively encouraged to contribute to the development and content of these plans | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Review pathway plan that reflects national best practice and young people's views | Dec 2016 | New designed and implemented pathway plan | COMPLETED | New Pathway Plan implemented Jul 2016 | | | | |
| 14.1 | Pathway plans to be re-designed in consultation with young people Care Leavers Practice Manager Social Work Student | Care Leavers Practice Manager | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.1 | | | Deliver and implement improved pathway plan that clearly reflect the views of young people | Dec 2016 | Target 90% of pathway plans were the young person's contribution was evident | COMPLETED | Where appropriate, Skype is being used and young people are responding particularly positively to this. (31 March 2017) | | | | |
| 14.2 | Quality assurance processes in the care leavers team to ensure good quality pathway plans | YOT Manager | Establish and implement QA framework for pathway plans | Dec 2016 | 70% target of pathway plans judged to be at least RI or better | COMPLETED | A service meeting has been held and a robust process agreed for case file auditing and for a greater number of cases being audited. Head of Service to audit with Team Managers in order to provide additional scrutiny and challenge. 8.12.16 | | | | |
| 14.4 | Pathway plans to be improved in response to feedback from MOMO app. | Care Leavers Practice Manager YOT Manager | Ensure usage of MOMO app across the service, through provision of appropriate technology and training for staff. | Apr2017 | % of CLA 15 + who have used MOMO – target? | In process | This will be reported in April 2017. 8.12.16 | | | | |
| | | | Data from MOMO app to be used to review quality of Pathway Plans. Pathway plans. Case file auditing | Apr 2017 | 70% target of pathway plans judged | ONGOING | This will be reported in April 2017 – we need to | | | | |

| | | | | process to be used to understand the quality of pathway plans. | | to be at least RI or better. | | give new auditing process time to bed in. 8.12.16 |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|-------|--|------------------|---|-----------------|---|
| 6 | LEADERSH | IIP AND G | 0 | VERNANCE | | | | |
| 15 | The Chief Executive sh children's social service | | dersh | nip in Torbay is strong, consistent and sha | rply focused o | n improving and sustaining | ng outcomes for | children throughout |
| | | DCS / CX and AD corporate Services | • | Introduce regular keep in touch meeting/teleconference between DCS & CX | Summer 2016 | Latest Ofsted monitoring letters confirm positive progress. Regular meetings taking place | COMPLETED | Well informed on CS performance, budget and outcome |
| 15.1 | Increase corporate oversight and understanding of CS performance, resource and outcomes | | • | Implement monthly reporting from DCS to CX on CS performance using appropriate comparator data | Summer 2016 | Latest Commissioner reports confirm positive direction and progress. Reporting taking place as expected. | COMPLETED | CX has a comprehensive overview of performance using appropriate comparators |
| | | | • | Children Services key decisions and plans incorporated within annual cycle of council decision making arrangements. | Summer 2016 | Key decisions and plans subject to member oversight | COMPLETED | Key decisions and plans subject to review and revision by Elected Members |
| | | | • | Overview & Scrutiny Working Party for Children's Services established. | Summer 2016 | | COMPLETED | |
| 16 | Improve the quality of rigorous action planni | • • | eme | nt and monitoring through an improved a | ind robust suit | e of data, effective and c | hallenging mana | agement oversight and |
| 16.1 | Deliver Management reporting tool platform | Principal Performance Manager | • | Develop a suite of Performance Indicators to span the Child's Journey | December 2016 | Suite of indicators distributed and understood. | COMPLETED | |

| | | | • | Introduce benchmark information across performance data | Feb 2017 | 70% target of practice standards where there is evidence of sustained improvement in performance | COMPLETED | Benchmarks have been used in manager's monthly performance meetings. 9.12.16 |
|------|---|--|---|---|----------------------|--|-----------|--|
| | | Assistant Director, Principal Performance Manager, Principal Business Support Manager and HoS | • | Each service to produce their own practice standards and service plans. The practice standards will set out expectations and the service plans will clarify how these will be met | Jan2017 | All services are clear about their service standards | COMPLETED | By January 2017, each service will have an updated set of practice standards and service plans, which highlight key priority areas. 8.12.16 |
| 16.3 | Re-establish performance management routine and embed performance within the culture | | • | Tracker systems to be implemented in each service, with the purpose of enabling the HOS, on an ongoing operational basis, to track individual performance activity and deal with areas of concern as they arise and put them right. | Feb 2017 Oct 2016 | Services have a system to track management information for their service. | COMPLETED | A visiting tracker has already been implemented. The full tracker will be available to use from 13 December 2016. 8.12.16 |
| | | | • | Develop performance reports for key governance and decision making forums – corporate reporting, Children's Improvement Board, Lead member / CX , Corporate Parenting Board (first draft) | Aug 2016 | Service Managers and Team managers able to provide own narrative on progress and use data to inform service plans | COMPLETED | Q2 Evidence that improvement actions routinely addressed |

| 16.4 | Refine and update PARIS forms to reflect practice and additional information needs | Principal Performance Manager | Develop, refine PARIS forms as specified by Ofsted recommendations and remove and reduce unused and forms and fields from PARIS. Phase 1 - Revised SA and Sec 47 Refine case notes Refine overview checks SoS CPP plan New LADO forms Reduce and remove off line additional SARS forms Audit form Performance Overview for SARS Update CWD CIN coding Address missing data items in Adoption Team Visiting tracker | s systematicall | Number of forms revised (and simplified) since April 2016 | COMPLETED | Q1 Introduce event based case notes – setting up event based notes , referral return letter Q2 – Address LADO, IRO and Single Assessment, Sos Plan, Audit tool, Q3 – Address recording of non CIN, additional case notes for PLO and Case supervision 9.12.16 Q4 legal tracker Start working on Fostering and finalise Adoption, Perm planning and personal supervision 9.12.16 |
|------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|---|
| 17 | organisation | routinely embedded | and learning from addit activity and training | is systematical | y evaluated and contribu | ites to a learning | g culture with the |
| 17.2 | Improve Audit Activity | AD/HoS/Lead Auditor | Audit tool to be updated to include strategy meetings and expectations about plans and assessments only 1 risk limiting judgement | 22/23 Feb and 1/2/7/9 March 2017 | Evidence through audit activity of auditors having a better understanding of 'good' Includes issues raised from OFSTED Dec 2016 visit | COMPLETED AND ISSUED | |
| | | | • Lead auditor to provide 1:1 audit support for new auditors and those targeted as needing support | Jan 2017 | All auditors are confidant in auditing activity | COMPLETED | Happening where needed (31 March 2017) |

| | | | Updated audit form and guidance to be | Sept 2016 | HoS can take actions | COMPLETED | |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|-----------|--|
| | | | issued at seminars and sent out afterwards | and ongoing | earlier | | |
| | | | HoS for QA to complete a monthly report on audit activity and this should be a standing item on the HoS meeting agenda | Jan and Feb 2017 | All auditors and staff clear on expectations | COMPLETED | February report presented to monthly performance meeting (31 March 2017) |
| | | | • Lead auditor to complete a quarterly report on learning lessons from audits and this to be disseminated to all staff. Both reports above to link, for consistency. | Feb 2017 and ongoing | Staff actively learning from audit activity | COMPLETED | Lead auditor has completed a report for between Dec- March 2017 (31 March 2017) |
| 18 | Ensure that Leadershi | and Management of | HoS and TMs is robust | | | • | |
| 18.1 | Management decisions must be recorded and provide a clear rationale for decisions (Ofsted Dec 2016) | AD/HoS/Lead Auditor TMs/HOS | Team Managers to be briefed on expectations by HoS | Jan 2017 | Team Managers clear on expectations | COMPLETED | Better evidenced now through case file auditing (31 March 2017) |
| 18.3 | Practice decisions and governance structure needs to be in place | AD | • Fortnightly HoS meeting with AD to be set up, so that decisions are taken and discussed by the leadership group | Dec 2016 | Shared ownership and structure for decision making | | |
| 18.4 | Learning from serious case reviews needs to be better utilised (OFSTED DEC 2016) | AD/HOS/WDO | Head of Safeguarding and QS to provide regular updates from learning from SCR's and IMR's. | March 2017 | Staff understand the lessons and use to inform practice, evidenced through case auditing. | | Information from SCRs now inputted into staff learning space intranet page. (31 March 2017) |